Participants:

**CPWG members:** Orhan Hacimehmet (TRC), Lisbet Elvakjaer (Danish Red Cross), Klug Wolfgang (Austrian Red Cross), Emma Delo (British Red Cross), Moore Anne Katherine (Swiss Red Cross), Mareike Tobiassen (German Red Cross), Wendy Brightman (American Red Cross), Shirin Narymbaeva (IFRC).

Excused: Carla Marie Taylor (Canadian Red Cross), Adriana Estrada (Spanish Red Cross), Jo Burton (ICRC), Caroline Holt (IFRC).

**Introduction, review of the agenda**

The CPWG call began by review of the agenda. As Caroline could not attend “resourcing IFRC regional structures” agenda point was postponed to be discussed during the face-to-face meeting in June.

**Management response to the Global Evaluation**

The CPWG members documented the management response to the recommendations of the evaluation here.

**Action points:**

- Final comments to the management response to the Global Evaluation will be accepted until 7 May.

**Cash Preparedness**

CPWG has the indicator of 50 NS engaged in cash preparedness by 2021. At the moment there are about 20-25 NS engaged in cash preparedness. To reach the target – cash preparedness model might need to be updated. The evaluation conducted last year has recommended that the cash preparedness is embedded into other ongoing change processes targeting NS. After briefly discussing a need to conduct a study on what needs to be changed on how we do cash preparedness, and sharing the ToR for a study, the British RC considered taking a step back to consult with CPWG whether a study would be useful in general. If it is useful what should the objectives of the study be. The British RC has the funding and will manage the process, but the activity is to be carried out on behalf of CPWG.

Some CPWG members have already commented on the ToR.

**Comments:**

- How this study would be different from the evaluation? The evaluation did not manage to complete everything, with most of the recommendations being quite general. Is there a need for additional evidence to be build on cash preparedness approaches (a comparative study) or is the evaluation sufficient?
- CPWG might need time to work on the recommendations of the evaluation before launching into a new study. Then the questions whether CPWG wants to complete the cash preparedness models by the end of 2019.
- At the moment there is only one model for cash preparedness track. To attack donors funding to this portfolio, CPWG needs to have several options. Moving larger numbers of NS
from level 1 to level 2 might need to be prioritized than moving smaller number of NS from level 1 to level 4.

Action/Decision:

1. The ToR might have to be separated into pieces of work and actions sequenced and prioritized to make it manageable for the consultant and to give a breather for the CPWG. Some of these actions can be taken now. Funding coming from the BRC can be spend any time during 2019. This is to be an agenda point during the face-to-face meeting in June.

2. British RC to separate pieces of work beforehand and share via e-mail, so that in June CPWG focuses on voting and prioritization.

**Membership Pack:**
Prior to the meeting, graphically laid out one-pagers on membership types have been shared.

Comments:
- Make sure printable version of the document is shared.

**Action points/Decisions:**

1. The membership pack has been approved – to be shared by Jo and Caroline.

**Review of the CPWG Action Points Log**
To be reviewed during the June face-to-face meeting.

Comments:
- Maintain a close contact with the PER and how it rolls out.

**Activation of new Technical WGs**
The activation of the Advocacy Technical Working Group is to be discussed during the June face-to-face meeting

Comments:
- ICRC and IFRC and Swiss RC are to put together a document explaining the purpose and scope of the WG to be discussed during the June meeting.
- BRC has some funding to support the development of advocacy materials for NS.

**AOB:**